IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.615 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.828 OF 2005

Rajesh @ Dadya Vijay Patil & Anr. .. Applicants.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Mr.S.M.Oak for the applicants.
Mrs.M.D.Deshmukh.A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 12TH JULY, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. This is the second application for bail by the applicants. Their earlier application was rejected vide order dated 18th October, 2005 by a reasoned order. It is evident from the order that the merits were taken into consideration while rejecting the earlier application. In the circumstances I am not inclined to enlarge the applicants on bail. The application is, accordingly, rejected. At this stage Mr.Oak, learned counsel for the applicants prayed for liberty to prepare paper book and for fixed date of hearing. Liberty to prepare paper book is granted.

It is open for the applicants to apply for fixed date of hearing after filing of the paper book. Since the applicants have undergone more than two years of sentence, if the appeal is not heard within one year from the date of filing of paper book, liberty to the applicants to apply for bail afresh. This application is rejected.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)